(2) SINGLE BENCH (4)

CF 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, AT- BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4237 OF 2006

PETITIONER : P. L. CHANDRAKAR,
AGED – 64 YEARS,
SON OF BORRA CHANDRAKAR,
RETIRED AS – RURAL AGRICULTURE EXTENSION OFFICER,
FROM O/O S. D. O. (AGRICULTURE),
TAH. & DISTRICT – DANTEWADA
R/O VILLAGE – KATIYARRAS,
P. O. – KUPER,
DISTRICT – DANTEWADA (C. G.)

P.R. No. 4306/06
Presented by Shri Mrunal Singh
Dated 12/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH,
THROUGH – THE SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
D. K. S. BHAWAN, RAIPUR

2. ACCOUNTANT GENERLA OF C.G.,
THROUGH – ITS SENIOR ACCOUNTS OFFICER,
12/27, RAMAN MANDIR WARD,
FAFADIH, RAIPUR (C. G.)

Writ Petition U/A – 226 & 227 of Constitution of India

XI-HC-78

(27)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P.4237/.... **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-8-2006

Mr. V. Deepak Rao, counsel for the petitioner.

Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent No.1, on advance copy.

Mrs. B.R. Maity, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. S.K. Beriwal, Central Govt. Counsel for respondent No.2, on advance copy.

Heard.

Main grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition under Articles 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India is that after his retirement even though he was entitled for GPF amount to the tune of Rs.1,47,775/-, he has been paid only Rs.84,531/- on 1-5-2004 and the remaining amount of Rs.63,244/- has not been paid.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the letter dated 3-1-2006 (Annexure P-2) written by the Sub Divisional Agricultural Officer, Dantewada makes it clear that on 3-1-2006, the S.D.O. had made it clear that the petitioner is entitled for further amount of Rs.63,244/- and along with the said letter missing deposit slips were also attached. However, ~~since then~~ no order has been passed by respondent No.2. Learned counsel further submits that therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for

P.T.O.

(28)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P.4237/ **सन् 2006**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances annexing a copy of this writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.2 within 15 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the case of the petitioner for entitlement of the remaining GPF amount along with interest up to the date of payment at the usual rate of interest and pass appropriate order for final payment within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the representation, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

P.T.O.

18.08.06